

FINAL REPORT

to

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

25 State Police Drive
PO Box 7360
West Trenton, New Jersey
08628-0360

**SEDIMENTOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY OF THE
UPPER DELAWARE ESTUARY**

by

Christopher K. Sommerfield¹ and John A. Madsen²

¹College of Marine Studies
University of Delaware
700 Pilottown Road
Lewes, Delaware 19958

²Department of Geology
University of Delaware
Penny Hall
Newark, Delaware 19716

October 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document reports the results of a sedimentological and geophysical survey of the upper Delaware Estuary, conducted during 2001–2002 by the University of Delaware (UDel) in cooperation with the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC). The purpose of the project was to acquire geologic data pertinent to hydrodynamic and sedimentation models implemented by DRBC to develop a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for PCBs in the Delaware Estuary. The specific objectives were as follows: (1) to develop an interpretable map of bottom sediment types in the tidal river and estuary; and (2) to quantify recent sedimentation rates at selected depositional sites within the open estuary and fringing tidal marshes. To meet these objectives, the industrialized sector of the river–estuary between Burlington, New Jersey and New Castle, Delaware was systematically surveyed using digital sidescan and chirp sonars. Sidescan sonar provided information on the horizontal distribution of bottom morphologies and sediment types, whereas chirp sonar revealed the vertical extent and continuity of subbottom sedimentary strata and bedrock. A total of 217 kilometers (350 miles) of sonar trackline were collected, covering the estuarine floor at 100 % saturation below the 5-meter isobath. To groundtruth the sonar data, sediment grain-size and porosity measurements were performed on 224 Smith-McIntyre grabs and 25 hydraulically damped cores collected in the survey area. Together, sidescan backscatter patterns and grain-size data were used to render interpretations of bottom sedimentary environment with regard to dominant sediment type and mode of transport. For three open-estuary and six marsh sites (Rancocas Creek, Woodbury Creek, Oldman's Creek, St. George's marsh, Salem River marsh, and Blackbird Creek), sediment chronologies were developed from profiles of the artificial radioisotope Cs-137 ($t_{1/2}=30$ years) to estimate recent sedimentation rates. Chronologies of the natural radioisotope Pb-210 ($t_{1/2}=22.3$ years) were developed for four of the marsh sites for comparison. In addition, sediment inventories of Cs-137 and excess Pb-210 were computed and compared to theoretical values to resolve potential pathways of suspended-particle dispersal and sequestration within the estuary and hydraulically contiguous marshes.

Bottom sediment types in the tidal river and upper estuary area span the full range of grain size, silty clay to gravel, with weight percentages that vary widely both along-

and across-channel, although across-channel variability in sand and mud content clearly increases and decreases, respectively, from DRBC Zone 3 to Zone 5. The down-estuary transition from a dominantly coarse-grained (sand and gravel) to fine-grained (clayey silt to silty clay) bottom occurs near the Zone 4–5 boundary between River Mile 75 and 85. Six general types of sedimentary environments were identified: (1) reworked bottom (three subclasses); (2) fine-grained deposition; (3) coarse-grained bedload; and (4) non-deposition or erosion. By far the most common type is the reworked bottom for which three subclasses (fine grained, mixed grained, and coarse grained) were observed. The process of bed reworking is signified by distinctive bedforms in places, corroborated by non-steady-state distributions of Cs-137 and Pb-210 downcore. Areas of coarse-grained bedload transport, characterized by sandy sediment ripples to waves, are best developed in the tidal river above Philadelphia. Areas of non-deposition or erosion, characterized by patchy bedrock exposures or a coble bottom, are confined to the Tinicum Island–Chester reach. At sites near the Rancocas River mouth and Marcus Hook shoal, an abrupt downcore change from medium-grained sand to estuarine mud was observed, suggesting that transport conditions have changed locally in recent times.

The most significant finding of this study is that fine-grained sediment accumulation within subtidal waters of the upper estuary occurs as discrete depocenters limited to the Marcus Hook–New Castle reach. Historically this segment has been the most sediment-rich of the entire Delaware Estuary and Bay, requiring nearly annual maintenance dredging by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) since about 1945, when the shipping channel was uniformly deepened to 40'. Indeed, the USACE reports that >60% of the *all* the sediment dredged from the Philadelphia–Sea shipping channel is derived from the Marcus Hook–New Castle reach. At the time of the sonar surveys, fluidized mud deposits up to 1-m thick and with a cumulative mass estimated at 3.5×10^5 tons dry weight were present in the shipping channel in the vicinity of Marcus Hook alone. Presence of the short-lived radioisotope Be-7 ($t_{1/2}$ =53 days) in cores from this area revealed that these deposits were emplaced rapidly in early 2001. Other fine-grained sediment depocenters were present at the mouth of the Christina River, just south of the Delaware Memorial Bridge.

In addition to its patchy distribution, fine-grained sediment deposition in the subtidal estuary is highly *discontinuous* on decadal timescales as evinced by downcore profiles Cs-137 and Pb-210. Net sedimentation rates estimated from Cs-137 profiles are ≥ 1 cm/yr, yet Be-7 distributions reveal that localized deposition may occur on a seasonal basis at rates approaching centimeters per month. From the sediment chronologies it is concluded that some fraction of material deposited on a seasonal basis is subsequently resuspended and dispersed such that sedimentation rates averaged over longer time spans are considerably lower. In other words, the decadal–centennial sedimentary record of the upper estuary is incomplete, as it archives only a fraction of sediment deposited on shorter timespans. This redistribution process is further evinced by sediment inventories of Cs-137, which are merely 10–14% of the expected post-1954 inventory, and considerably lower than those determined for the tidal marsh sites.

Based on Cs-137 and Pb-210 geochronology, sedimentation rates for the tidal marsh sites ranged from 0.3 to 1.5 cm/yr. Overall, Woodbury Creek, Oldman's Creek, and Rancocas Creek (all freshwater marshes) had the highest sedimentation rates and inventories of Cs-137 and excess Pb-210, revealing that these tributaries are important repositories for fine-grained sediments and adsorbed constituents. In particular, Woodbury Creek appears to be particularly efficient in sequestering suspended matter derived from its watershed, as well as material transported from the open estuary. Detailed studies of sediment transport and deposition within these and other tidal marshes are needed to illuminate their role as sediment sources and (or) sinks in the greater Delaware River-Estuary system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of individuals contributed to the fruition of this project, which required extensive logistical support in the field and laborious laboratory work. Dr. Thomas Fisklin (DRBC) and Richard Greene (DNREC) were the principal agency contacts who arranged the financial support and oversaw project results as they became available. We appreciate their commitment to the project and flexibility in dealing with the many delays. The sonar surveys aboard the RV *Lear* were facilitated by a generous contribution of boat time by EPA Region III. We thank Charles Apt for making the *Lear* available to the project, and William Muir, James Gougas, and Leonard Mangiaracina who aptly skippered (and repaired) her during the survey. Wayne Spencer of Spencer Oceanographic, Inc. provided indispensable technical expertise during the sidescan and chirp sonar surveys. Dr. Jonathan Sharp of the College of Marine Studies graciously provided sampling time on his RV *Cape Henlopen* cruises during which essential coring and sidescan sonar data were obtained. The following graduate students at the College of Marine Studies made significant contributions to this project: David Walsh, Andrew Klingbeil, Elyse Scileppi, and Tim Cook. Stacey Cochiera, a 2002 undergraduate intern, performed grain-size analyses. In addition, we thank the many Department of Geology undergraduate and graduate students who volunteered on the *Cape Henlopen* research cruises, with special thanks to Lyndon Brown. We are grateful to the captain and crew of the RV *Cape Henlopen* (cruises CH01-28 and CH02-09) who assisted with sediment sampling and seafloor mapping in their characteristically professional manner.

This study, supported by DRBC, was conducted in parallel with a Delaware Sea Grant project that provided considerable resources through grant R/ME-30 (to C. Sommerfield and J. Madsen). The authors gratefully acknowledge the Delaware Sea Grant Program for their support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
1. INTRODUCTION.....	9
1.1. <i>Study Objectives</i>	9
1.2. <i>Study Area and Previous Work</i>	10
2. SONAR SURVEYS.....	15
2.1. <i>Sidescan Sonar.....</i>	16
2.1.1. <i>Principles of Operation.....</i>	16
2.2. <i>Chirp Sonar.....</i>	18
2.2.1. <i>Principles of Operation.....</i>	18
2.2.2. <i>Chirp Instrumentation.....</i>	20
2.3. <i>Single-Beam Echosounder</i>	20
2.4. <i>Data Reduction and Presentation</i>	20
3. SEDIMENT SAMPLING.....	21
3.1. <i>Hydraulically Damped Cores</i>	21
3.2. <i>Smith-McIntyre Grabs</i>	22
4. ANALYTICAL METHODS	23
4.1. <i>Water Content and Porosity.....</i>	23
4.2. <i>Grain-Size Analysis.....</i>	23
4.3. <i>Radioisotope Measurements</i>	25
5. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION	27
5.1. <i>Sonar and Bottom Sampling Coverage.....</i>	27
5.2. <i>Sidescan Backscatter</i>	40
5.3. <i>Sediment Physical Properties</i>	42
5.3.1. <i>Porosity</i>	42
5.3.2. <i>Grain Size.....</i>	42
5.4. <i>Sedimentary Environments</i>	48
5.5. <i>Subbottom Observations</i>	62
5.5.1. <i>Features of Note.....</i>	62
5.6. <i>Radioisotope Profiles and Sedimentation Rates</i>	70
5.6.1. <i>Reconnaissance Cs-137 Measurements</i>	70
5.6.2. <i>Seasonal Deposition in the Estuary</i>	73

5.6.3. <i>Marsh and Floodplain Sediment Accumulation</i>	74
5.6.4. <i>Radioisotope Inventories</i>	77
6. CONCLUSIONS	81
7. REFERENCES	84
APPENDIX A. SONAR TRACKLINE DATA	88
APPENDIX B. GIS DATABASE ON CD-ROM	91
APPENDIX C. SEDIMENT SAMPLING STATIONS	95
APPENDIX D. WATER CONTENT AND POROSITY DATA	104
APPENDIX E. GRAIN SIZE DATA	111
APPENDIX F. CS-137 AND PB-210 ACTIVITIES	118

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.	Timeline of Major Tasks.....	10
TABLE 2.	Backscatter Mosaic Names and Locations.....	40
TABLE 3.	Sedimentary Environments Classification.....	49
TABLE 4.	Push Core Locations.....	72
TABLE 5.	Sediment Accumulation Rates and Radioisotope Inventories.....	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Location map of the study area	11
Figure 2.	Schematic of the sidescan sonar method.....	17
Figure 3.	Schematic of the chirp sonar method.....	19
Figure 4.	Sediment grain-size classification.....	24
Figure 5.	Survey Area Map 1.....	28
Figure 6.	Survey Area Map 2.....	29
Figure 7.	Survey Area Map 3.....	30
Figure 8.	Survey Area Map 4.....	31
Figure 9.	Survey Area Map 5.....	32
Figure 10.	Survey Area Map 6.....	33
Figure 11.	Survey Area Map 7.....	34
Figure 12.	Survey Area Map 8.....	35
Figure 13.	Survey Area Map 9.....	36
Figure 14.	Survey Area Map 10.....	37
Figure 15.	Survey Area Map 11.....	38
Figure 16.	Survey Area Map 12.....	39
Figure 17.	Sidescan sonar coverage map.....	41
Figure 18.	Downcore porosity profiles.....	43
Figure 19.	Results of grain-size analysis	44
Figure 20.	Photographs of cores C-3A and C-11B.....	46
Figure 21.	Plots of grain-size trends	47
Figure 22.	Sedimentary Environments Map 1.....	50
Figure 23.	Sedimentary Environments Map 2.....	51
Figure 24.	Sedimentary Environments Map 3.....	52
Figure 25.	Sedimentary Environments Map 4.....	53
Figure 26.	Sedimentary Environments Map 5.....	54
Figure 27.	Sedimentary Environments Map 6.....	55
Figure 28.	Sedimentary Environments Map 7.....	56
Figure 29.	Sedimentary Environments Map 8.....	57
Figure 30.	Sedimentary Environments Map 9.....	58
Figure 32.	Sedimentary Environments Map 11.....	60
Figure 33.	Sedimentary Environments Map 12.....	61
Figure 34.	Sonar Line 103	63
Figure 35.	Sonar Line 39	65
Figure 36.	Fluid-mud distribution map.....	66
Figure 37.	Sonar Line 122	67

Figure 38. Sonar Line 34	68
Figure 39. Bedrock occurrence map	69
Figure 40. Locations of cores analyzed for radioisotopes.	71
Figure 41. Cs-137 activity profiles for estuary and marsh cores	75
Figure 42. Cs-137 and Be-7 activity profiles.....	76
Figure 43. Profiles of excess Pb-210 activity	78